Costa Mesa, California 92626 714 445-1000 • Fax 714 445-1002

. <u>l</u>e

28

3	Kyra E. Andrassy, State Bar No. 207959 kandrassy@swelawfirm.com Timothy W. Evanston, State Bar No. 319342 tevanston@swelawfirm.com 3200 Park Center Drive, Suite 250 Costa Mesa, California 92626 Telephone: 714 445-1000		
4			
5			
6	Facsimile: 714 445-1002		
7	Attorneys for Elissa D. Miller, Chapter 7 Trustee		
8	UNITED STATES BA		
9	CENTRAL DISTRIC		
10	LOS ANGEL		
11	_		
12	In re		
13	GIRARDI KEESE,		
14	Debtor.		
15	ELISSA D. MILLER, Chapter 7 Trustee for the bankruptcy estate of Girardi Keese,		
16	Plaintiff,		
17	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
18			
19	ROBERT MANDELL, an individual; THE MANDELL LAW FIRM, and ROBERT W. FINNERTY, an individual,		
20	Defendants.		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
- 1	1		

SMILEY WANG-EKVALL, LLPPhilip E. Strok, State Bar No. 169296

2 pstrok@swelawfirm.com

JNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES DIVISION

Case No. 2:20-bk-21022-BR
Chapter 7

Adv No. 2:21-ap-01175-BR

ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM IN INTERPLEADER OF ROBERT MANDELL AND THE MANDELL LAW FIRM

2896398.3 1 ANSWER

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Elissa D. Miller, the chapter 7 trustee (the "Trustee" or "Plaintiff"), by and through her counsel, respectfully submits this answer to the Counterclaim in Interpleader [Docket No. 7] (the "Counterclaim") filed by Defendants Robert Mandell and The Mandell Law Firm (collectively, the "Counterclaimants") as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim.
- 2. The Plaintiff admits the allegation contained in paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim that the Counterclaim is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), but denies that 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B) applies.
- 3. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim.
- 4. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim.
- 5. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim.
- 6. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim.
- 7. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim.
- 8. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim.

THE DISPUTE

9. The Plaintiff denies the allegation that the Exide Fees are "presently held in trust" by the Counterclaimants, but admits that certain funds are in possession of the

2896398.3 **ANSWER** 2

Any capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Plaintiff's Complaint [Docket No. 1].

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Counterclaimants. The Plaintiff admits the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim.

- 10. Answering paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim, the Plaintiff admits that the Exide Fees are comprised of attorney's fees for representation of the plaintiffs in major toxic tort litigation but asserts that those fees are for the Debtor's representation and therefore denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim.
- 11. Answering paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim, the Plaintiff lacks sufficient information or belief to determine the truth or validity of the allegations and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim.
- 12. The Plaintiff admits that certain fees were paid on February 12, 2019 and on December 21, 2020, but lacks sufficient information or belief to determine the truth or validity of whether these fee awards were the "largest," and on that basis, denies the allegation that the fee awards on February 12, 2019 and December 21, 2020 were the largest. The Plaintiff admits the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim.
- 13. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim.
- 14. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Counterclaim.
- 15. The Plaintiff admits that the Counterclaimants have no interest in the remaining Exide Fees. Answering the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Counterclaim, the Plaintiff lacks sufficient information or belief to determine the truth or validity of the allegations and, on that basis, denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Counterclaim.
- 16. Answering paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim, the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim consist of a legal conclusion to which no response is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

required. To the extent the Court requires a response, the Plaintiff denies the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim.

- 17. The Plaintiff admits the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Counterclaim.
- 18. Answering paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim, the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim consist of a legal conclusion to which no response is required. To the extent the Court requires a response, the Plaintiff denies the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim.
- Answering paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim, the Plaintiff lacks sufficient 19. information or belief to determine the truth or validity of the allegations and, on that basis, denies the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim.

PLAINTIFF'S RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

- 20. The Plaintiff contends that the Counterclaim for Interpleader is unnecessary because the Plaintiff has previously (and repeatedly) offered to hold the Exide Fees subject to any and all claims against the Exide Fees until further order of the Court.
- 21. The Plaintiff contends that the Counterclaimants are not entitled to any costs and attorneys' fees in connection with the Counterclaim. The Plaintiff further contends that the Counterclaimants should not be discharged from any and all liability on the Trustee's claims in the Complaint, and that the Plaintiff should not be restrained from prosecuting the claims in her Complaint against the Counterclaimants.
- 22. The Plaintiff reserves the right to add affirmative defenses as they become known through discovery and/or investigation of this matter.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment against the Counterclaimants as follows:

- 1. That the Court enter judgment in favor of the Plaintiff on the Counterclaim;
- 2. That the Counterclaimants take nothing by way of the Counterclaim;
- 3. That the Plaintiff be awarded attorneys' fees and costs as authorized by law; and

For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper. 4. DATED: January 3, 2022 SMILEY WANG-EKVALL, LLP By: /s/ Kyra E. Andrassy KYRA E. ANDRASSY Attorneys for Elissa D. Miller, Chapter 7 Trustee

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is 3200 Park Center Drive, Suite 250, Costa Mesa, CA 92626.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): **ANSWER TO COUNTERCLAIM IN INTERPLEADER OF ROBERT MANDELL AND THE MANDELL LAW FIRM** will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) January 3, 2022 I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

☑ Service information continued on attached page.

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On (date) January 3, 2022, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

The Honorable Barry Russell U.S. Bankruptcy Court Roybal Federal Building 255 E. Temple Street, Suite 1660 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Robert Finnerty 10425 Whipple Street Toluca Lake. CA 91602

2007 (1190100), 07 (00012		
		Service information continued on attached page.
for each person or entity served) following persons and/or entities such service method), by facsim	: Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling by personal delivery, overnight mail servi- ile transmission and/or email as follows. I	E TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method ng LBR, on (date), I served the ce, or (for those who consented in writing to Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration no later than 24 hours after the document is
		Service information continued on attached page.
I declare under penalty of perjury	under the laws of the United States that	the foregoing is true and correct.
January 3, 2022	Gabriela Gomez-Cruz	/s/ Gabriela Gomez-Cruz
Date	Printed Name	Signature

ADDITIONAL SERVICE INFORMATION (if needed):

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF):

- Kyra E Andrassy kandrassy@swelawfirm.com, lgarrett@swelawfirm.com;gcruz@swelawfirm.com;jchung@swelawfirm.com
- Timothy W Evanston tevanston@swelawfirm.com, gcruz@swelawfirm.com;lgarrett@swelawfirm.com;jchung@swelawfirm.com
- Elissa Miller (TR) CA71@ecfcbis.com, MillerTrustee@Sulmeyerlaw.com;C124@ecfcbis.com;ccaldwell@sulmeyerlaw.com
- Philip E Strok pstrok@swelawfirm.com, gcruz@swelawfirm.com;1garrett@swelawfirm.com;jchung@swelawfirm.com
- United States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov
- Diane C Weil dcweil@dcweillaw.com, diane.c.weil@Gmail.com,dweil@ecf.inforuptcy.com,alopez@dcweillaw.com